PEDESTRIAN NOTES ON QUANTUM MECHANICS

Haret C. Rosu

Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato, Apdo Postal E-143, León, Gto, México Institute of Gravitation and Space Sciences, Bucharest, Romania rosu@ifug3.ugto.mx (received: March 22, 1997; published by Metaphysical Review 3, 8-22; May 1, 1997)

" Get your facts straight, and then you can distort them as much as you please." Mark Twain

I present an elementary essay on some issues related to foundations of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, which is written in the spirit of extreme simplicity, making it an easy-to-read paper. Moreover, one can find a useful collection of ideas and opinions expressed by many well-known authors in this vast research field.

I. INDEFINABLES

Physics is first of all the science of measurement. As Lord Kelvin put it

I often say that when you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it.

According to Kelvin a collection of thoughts cannot advance to the stage of Science without numbers. Any observable of interest in physics should be measurable or expressed in terms of measurable quantities. Length and time are two of the indefinables of classical mechanics, since on an intuitive base there are no simpler or more fundamental quantities in terms of which length and time may be expressed. The problem of space-time picture of the physical world is connected with the rigour of exact description of nature requiring, say, differential equations, by means of which we are able to gauge the intuitive space-time scales of any motion. The full number of indefinables in mechanics is three, as all its quantities could be expressed by only three indefinables. The third mechanical indefinable is usually the mass, but also force may be chosen [1]. Human beings in their everyday lives are continuously "measuring" the mechanical indefinables, as well as other indefinables of physics, e.g., the temperature, by means of their physiological senses. Of course, it is a very rough "measurement", because it could be expressed in words, not in numbers. Words and numbers are complementary units of knowledge. Pure numbers do not tell us much about Nature unless we assign them some significance. As a good example consider the number 3.52. Just a (real) number as any other. But now write it as $2\pi/e^{\gamma}$. For some physicists it has already

a meaning. Finally, let us write down the full chain, i.e., $2\Delta_0/T_c=2\pi/e^{\gamma}=3.52$. It tells us that 3.52 is the BCS value for the ratio between the gap at zero temperature and the critical temperature for the transition to the superconductor phase. One gets 3.52 only in BCS theory.

Because of its beauty, I am temted to give a second example which has been quoted by Noyes [2] from the books of Stillman Drake on Galileo. So, what about the number 1.1107... Nothing special at first glimpse. But now let us give a first significate: $1.1107... = \pi/2\sqrt{2}$. Geometrically it is the ratio between the quarterperimeter of the circle and the side of the square inscribed into that circle. Geometrical (i.e., spatial) measurements and thinking were much developed by Old Greeks. But Galileo was the first to give 1.1107... as the ratio of two times, namely the time t_p it takes for a pendulum of a specific length lto swing to the vertical through a small arc to the time t_f it takes a body to fall from rest through a distance equal to the length of the pendulum. Galileo's measurement was 942/850 = 1.108, but he was not aware he measured $\pi/2\sqrt{2}$. However he gave a remarkable formulation of the "law of gravity". The Galilean gravitation states that the ratio of the pendulum time to the falling time as specified above is the constant 1.108, "anywhere that bodies fall and pendulums oscillate".

To obtain the number of indefinables (NOI) a community of physicists should adopt rules of their measurements, especially since NOI is not a fixed number, and new types of experiments might augment NOI. The rule of classical indefinables is to choose a durable standard of unit for each of them and to have a good dividing engine. This has been achieved rather easy for the meter of length but not so easy in the case of the meter of time (second). In the latter case the great difficulty has been for a long time the missing of an accurate dividing machine. Large errors were continuously accumulating

over historical epochs, and people in the fields of Religion and Politics were forced to apply corrections at some times. Atomic standards (lasers) have been introduced since early sixties having a natural atomic time- dividing machine (the atomic frequency). However, even the very precise atomic standards display statistical results [3], and there are also reported abnormalities during solar eclipses [4]. At present, interferometers could be used for dividing purposes too, and computing machines are usually attached to measuring devices for a more rapid conversion of the physical interactions into real numbers. As regarding computers, there should be a continuous effort to study their rate of producing numbers which is not depending only on the used algorithm, and to have more involved definitions of computable numbers (so-called Turing Problem [5]).

Establishing measurement rules for indefinables is extremely important for the conceptual constructions in the realm of physics. It is not at all an easy job in the case of quantum indefinables. Since the microscopic world is described by another kind of mechanics, the celebrated quantum mechanics, it may be that new indefinables come into play. One new indefinable is the wavefunction, also called the state vector [6], or, more realistically, the wavepacket. These are concepts essentially of mathematical origin, and hence a priori calculable ones. In a certain sense they correspond to the fundamental indefinable of euclidean geometry- the point. The geometrical point has neither dimensions nor any attached units, but we can assign numbers (coordinates) to it. In this way one may come to the conclusion that quantum mechanics is more a mathematical theory rather than a physical one. It is a "wave" mechanics allowing a corpuscular duality. The measurement problem will be ab inizio extremely delicate for quantum mechanics, just because it is a theory containing unmeasurable (mathematical) indefinables. To the mathematical indefinables one could always assign generalized probabilistic meanings depending substantially on the measuring scheme. The mathematical and psycho/philosophical literature is extremely rich in various axiomatic schemes in probability theory and its mental implications [7]. Let us mention the socalled belief functions on which Halpern and Fagin have recently elaborated [8]. These are functions that allocate a number between 0 and 1 to every subset of a given set of objects. Such functions have been introduced by Dempster in 1967 [9], and it would be quite interesting to have a quantum mechanics based on them, e.g., to write down a em belief density matrix.

It became common lore to say that the measurement process is a more or less instantaneous effect inducing a reduction/collapse of the wavepacket, which is interrupting its quantum unitary evolution as described by the Schrödinger equation. As far as the meaning of measurement is not quite clear whenever one is dealing with probabilistic concepts, the whole quantum theory is sub-

ject to severe questions of interpretation and therefore is open to deep philosophical problems. The axioms of the standard probability theory are not fixed for ever. It is a fundamental scientific goal to exploit various modifications of the probabilistic axioms rendering possible new interpretations of quantum mechanics [10].

II. THE CONCEPT OF MASSIVENESS

Let us start this section with an excerpt from Glimm [11]

Between quantum length scales (atomic diameters of about 10^{-10} m) and the earth's diameter (10^6 m) there are about 16 length scales. Most of technology and much of science occurs in this range. Between the Planck length and the diameter of the universe there are 70 length scales. 70, 16, or even 2 is a very large number. Most theories become intractable when they require coupling between even two adjacent length scales. Computational resources are generally not sufficient to resolve multiple length scales in 3 dimensional problems and even in many two-dimensional problems.

At the present time, technology is penetrating into the nanometer scale [12], and even atomic scale [13]. By technology one should understand: i) machine tools (i.e., processing equipment), ii) measuring instruments (inspection equipment), iii) super-inspection factors (e.g., well-qualified human beings). We have already machine tools at the nanometer scale [14], and one can process shapes down there at only one order of magnitude away from the atomic scale.

Already at the end of 1959 Feynman [15] delivered a remarkable talk on manipulating and controlling things on a small scale. As a matter of fact, human beings are closer to atomic scales than, say, galactic scales, and besides, to fabricate small things is an absolute technological requirement. A list of reasons why we want to make things small was provided by Pease [16] of which we cite: it is fun, smaller devices work faster, smaller devices consume less power, smallness is intrinsically good, it is scientifically important. One can add to the list of Pease small is beautiful introduced by Fubini and Molinari [17].

Nonetheless, even within mesoscopic world the measurement problem will preserve its features. We shall continue to establish correlations between a "system" observable and an "apparatus" observable, i.e., we shall do our measurements in the same common manner [18]. The apparatus should be massive with respect to the system (massive not necessarily meaning of macroscopic size), and should have a "pointer", which cannot be

but in localized quantum states. According to DeWitt, massiveness of the pointer compared to the measured system is absolutely necessary to get experimental results/outcomes. However, the concept of massiveness is not elaborated by DeWitt (unless to say that $M_{ap} \gg m_s$). At the nanometer scale, mesoscopic tips are by now in much use making possible the measurement of Van der Waals forces between the tip and the surface under investigation at distances smaller than 100 nm. That means forces in the range $10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$ N are measured either when the tip is moved or the surface is slowly approaching the tip [19].

Massiveness is related to localization, and may be very helpful in distinguishing amongst various theories of quantum evolution. The fundamental goal of this family of theories is to explain in a unifying way microscopic (quantum) objects and macroscopic ones. Since it is reasonable to think that massiveness is indeed related to the localization features of the system, it would be interesting to study in detail the conditions under which various systems make the transition to massiveness. By this transition which, to this day, is one of the most unclear in quantum mechanics [20], one should not mean in a compulsory manner the various semiclassical ($\hbar \to 0$; or better $\hbar/m \to 0$; notice K.R.W. Jones [21] who showed that one can also keep \hbar fixed) approximations to quantum mechanics or the transition to macrophysics $N \to \infty$. It is merely a transition in the sense of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. This approximation, going back to 1927, refers to molecular wave functions and is essential in the interpretation of molecular spectra. It is a perturbation expansion in a small parameter defined as the fourth root of the electron mass divided by the mass of the nuclei, $\kappa = (m/M)^{1/4}$. Denote by H a common Hamiltonian for a system of heavy and light particles and by H_{cM} the hamiltonian of the center of mass motion. The problem is to find out the manner in which the eigenvalues W_i , and eigenfunctions ψ of $H' = H - H_{cM}$ depend on κ [22]. The main hypothesis of Born-Oppenheimer is the existence of an equilibrium position X_0 of the heavy particles such that the eigenvalues W_i and the scaled eigenfunctions, $\phi(\xi, x) = x^{3/2(N-1)}\psi(x\xi + X_0, x)$, are analytic in κ^2 and κ , respectively, for fixed m in the neighbourhood of zero.

Very useful would be to consider the transitions to macroscopic world and to massiveness as problems with multiple time scales, which are pervading many areas in applied mathematics [23].

Let us end this section with the relationship between big and small in quantum mechanics. For this, I shall present excerpts from Dirac's Principles [24]. In the first chapter, "The Principle of Superposition", Dirac states

So long as *big* and *small* are merely relative concepts, it is no help to explain the big in terms of the small. It is therefore necessary

to modify classical ideas in such a way as to give an absolute meaning to size.

On the same page one can read

We may define an object to be big when the disturbance accompanying our observation of it may be neglected, and small when the disturbance cannot be neglected. This definition is in close agreement with the common meanings of big and small....In order to give an absolute meaning to size, such as is required for any theory of the ultimate structure of matter, we have to assume that there is a limit to the fineness of our powers of observation and the smallness of accompanying disturbance - a limit which is inherent in the nature of things and can never be surpassed by improved technique or increased skill on the part of the observer.

III. QUANTUM MECHANICS AND DIFFUSIONS

Words like particle and motion could be considered also in the class of undefined (primary) concepts [25]. Electrons, neutrons, neutrinos and other 'ons and 'inos could be only names that we accept because of their intuitive power. All the so-called elementary particles which have been introduced in the last one hundred years can be considered as particular forms of propagating (transport) processes and energy carriers [26]. Indeed, Schrödinger equation is the basic equation of quantum world, but diffusion equations are, no doubt, more general equations. We say 'no doubt' just because already in 1933, Fuerth [27] showed that Schrödinger equation could be written as a diffusion equation with an imaginary diffusion coefficient, $D_{am} = i\hbar/2m$. This imaginary diffusivity is vexing and many stopped the analogy at this point. On the other hand, negative diffusivity is more natural and one may encounter it in multicomponent systems, implying local increase in the energy of the system as discussed by Ghez [28]. Let us consider a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H\psi$ where $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V$ and $p = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. The diffusive character of such an equation for ψ is obvious if we take into account a source term related to the potential energy, and the momentum playing the role of flux. For historical reasons the diffusion interpretations (they may come in three classes: in configuration space, in phase space, and in imaginary time) were not favored during a long lapse of time, though today mainly because of the impetus provided by quantum optics, we became accustomed with such methods as quantum-jump [29] and quantum-state diffusion [30] to simulate dissipation processes. Indeed, Schrödinger obtained his wave mechanics by means of a more intuitive analogy in which

he put together the Hamilton-Jacobi theory, relating geometrical optics and particle dynamics, with de Broglie's matter waves. One could say that what Schrödinger did was to randomize a purely classical theory by means of de Broglie hypothesis. It was a way of randomizing within the classical formalism, but, more generally, one should be aware of the multitude of randomization procedures [31].

The apparent difficulty of imaginary diffusivity is not essential when interpreting it in the proper way. The result can well be a more general theory. The picture of the World is that of an infinite number of clusters in the sense of percolation theory. Classes of clusters could be defined in terms of their relative diffusivities and fluxes. Some of them are "static" relative to other more kinetic ones [32]. In this diffuson context, the imaginary character of the diffusion coefficient for quantum particles is related to the passage from a parabolic differential equation to a hyperbolic one [33].

Even a presentation of the one dimensional diffusion equation, first in the discretized form and then in the continuous limit, on the lines of the *Primer* of Ghez [28] is very helpful to understand the diffusive aspects of the Schrödinger equation, and I recommend the reader to look in that book. The toy model of Ghez is a pedagogical isotropic one-dimensional random work, in which one consider points on a line with an arbitrary fixed origin. For the passage to the continuum limit one must introduce a jump distance between the points and a continuous particle distribution, depending not only on time but also on the space variable such that to coincide at the discrete sites with the discrete particle distribution.

There are also papers dealing with the connection between a classical Markov process of diffusion type and the quantum mechanical form of the Hamiltonian for a classical charged particle in an electromagnetic field [34]. These two problems are equivalent as far as one is concerned with the expectation values for the particle energies in the two cases. Consider a continuity equation of the type $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v)$ where $v = v_0 - D\nabla \ln \rho$. Such a continuity equation is in fact a Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density ρ for the position vector of a particle following a Markov process of diffusion type with diffusion coefficient D. The expectation value for the energy of the particle $< E> = \int [mv_0^2/2 + eV]\rho d^3x$ can also be written $< E> = \int [mv^2/2 + D^2m/2(\nabla \ln \rho)^2 +$ $eV | \rho d^3x$, and the connection with the electromagnetic phenomena can be established by means of the celebrated Helmholtz theorem for a vector (considering the velocity as a vector, thus no type of spin) $v = \alpha \nabla \phi + \beta A$, where ϕ and A are defined in the usual way up to a gauge transformation, and α and β are constants which should be chosen in an appropriate way to achieve the correspondence. There would be interesting to study the passage ways from microscopic to macroscopic description of electromagnetic fields [35] in this framework. The traditional

one, going back to Lorentz, and which is applicable to common molecular media, is by averaging the differential equations for microscopic quantities by integration over some macroscopic volume. This is the most trivial procedure for going to the macroscopic approximation. There are other approaches, e.g., the topological one as discussed by Brusin [36].

IV. QUANTUM MECHANICS AND LOCALIZATIONS

The collapse postulate of quantum mechanics is one of the most debatable points in the conceptual base of this theory, being at the same time the main desideratum for a modified quantum dynamics [37]. The collapse of the state vector is required by the formal quantum theory of measurement. One must assure somehow the decoherence of the macroscopic states of the apparatus in order to have a definite outcome for any experiment involving quantum particles. We still do not know if this decoherence is dependent on the particular interaction and hence on the particular type of measurement or is a universal feature of the transition from microscopic to macroscopic behaviour. The first hypothesis is called environmental (Zeh-Joos) localization [38]. On the other hand, the universal localization, also known as spontaneous (or GRW) localization is due to Ghirardi, Rimini and Weber [39]. It is difficult to decide between the two models. In our opinion, they are not completely opposite ideas. The dynamical (environmental) localization may be specific to the particular experiment, while the spontaneous localization might be thought of as related to the transition to massiveness, which one would like to see as universal. In this way having different purposes, the two standpoints are not contradictory. At the same time GRW localization could be considered only as a special type of environmental localization at the scale given by the parameters of the model. The point is that these parameters have been raised to the level of fundamental constants of Nature by the authors. Anyway, one must spell out explicit conditions allowing to pass from a regime of continuous spontaneous (or dynamic) localization to a discontinuous regime characteristic to the GRW localization. We recall that in the GRW approach the N-particle wave function of the non-relativistic Schrödinger quantum mechanics (NRQM) is coupled to a normalized Gaussian jump factor $J_{GRW}(x) = K \exp(-x^2/2a^2)$. The frequency of the jumps and the localization constant are considered as two new fundamental constants of Nature of the following orders of magnitude $\nu_{GRW} \sim 10^{-15} s^{-1} = 10^{-8} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and $a \sim 10^{-5} \text{ cm}.$

The spontaneous localization implied by the GRW model might be tested experimentally by means of mesoscopic phenomena, e.g., by looking for instabilities of the mesoscopic growing (thread-like, filamentary) patterns.

Recently, Kasumov, Kislov, and Khodos [40] observed the displacements of the free ends of threads of amorphous hydrocarbons of 200-500 \mathring{A} in width and 0.2-2.0 μ m in length relative to a fixed reference point on the screen of a transmission electron microscope. The minimal displacements were of about 5 Å, and the observations were made in a regime of stationarity of the threads, i.e., very low density of the beam current ($\sim 0.1 \text{ pA/cm}^2$). KKK observed random jumps of the free ends of the carbon threads of 10-30 \mathring{A} in length and of frequency of ~ 1 Hz. They discussed possible reasons to induce vibrations, and came to the conclusion that no classical external forces could explain the jumps. They attributed them to the "quantum potential", and to localizations of GRW type, but the range of the observed parameters do not correspond to that of the GRW ones.

What I would say is that the jumps or mesoscopic fluctuations of the carbon threads are a kind of mesoscopic Brownian motion which damps in time, being different from the microscopic quantum fluctuations which never damps out.

Moreover, if one takes into account the recent work of Sumpter and Noid [41] the KKK results can be classified as red herrings. Sumpter and Noid assigned the onset of positional instabilities in samples of carbon nanotubes to nonlinear resonances controlled by their geometry, i.e., the contour length around the end of the tube and the length of the tube along its axis. It is quite probable that the same mechanism applies also to microtubules in biology. For the connection between "quantum jumps" and nonlinear resonances in classical phase space see Holthaus and Just [42]

I would like to point out that the GRW-type localization corresponds to a weak coupling limit of Hamiltonian systems with coherent/squeezing interaction with the environment [43]. Indeed Gaussian localization is specific to coherent and squeezed states in the configuration representation. An immediate scope is to generalize this type of localization to relativistic quantum mechanics (RQM), and to quantum field theories (QFT). In NRQM one is dealing with spatial probabilities, that is with probabilities associated with a spatial domain (ΔX) at a moment of time T. To go to RQM, one must extend the spatial probability to a spacetime domain as in [44].

V. NONLINEAR WAVEFUNCTION COLLAPSE?

The quantum wavefunction varies in time in a continuous way, following the deterministic Schrödinger evolution. When an observer wishes to measure a physical quantity of a quantum system, the wavefunction corresponding to that physical quantity is exposed to an apparatus specially designed to do this. The general effect of the apparatus, usually macroscopic with respect to the physical system, is to induce a discontinuous change

of the wave function from a superposition of states into just one state. This general effect is known in the quantum formalism as the collapse of the wavefunction. The open question is to find out the general mechanism of the collapse of the quantum wavefunction. In the literature one can find many interesting ideas on this problem. As a quite acceptable interpretation of the collapsing phenomenon we mention here the old ideas of Schrödinger, who tried to relate the modulus of the wavefunction to a materialistic and realistic density of electronic matter, and *not* to probabilities. For a recent discussion of this viewpoint the reader is referred to a paper of Barut [45]. This model for the modulus of the wavefunction can be elaborated further by making use of progress due to Chew [46].

In the following, we would like to comment on some phenomenological features of physical collapses from other areas of physics in the hope to gain more insights in the possible physical picture of quantum mechanical collapses of admittedly fundamental origin. Our standpoint is that the present status of the wave function reduction phenomenon is too formal, even though one may find an abundant literature with interesting presentations of the topic [47]. It is fair to say that we have no generally accepted physical mechanism of the reduction process for the time being. In the literature, one can find only extreme descriptions, claiming for a strong nonlinear process in which gravity [48] and/or quantum gravity [49] is thought to play an important role. On the other hand, collapsing phenomena, presumably displaying similar patterns can be encountered in several other fields of physics, in the case when nonlinear effects are not balancing any more the dispersive spreading of waves (solitons). Of course, in such cases one is already outside the restricted regime of linear dissipation implied by standard quantum mechanics. Moreover, one can avoid thermodynamical arguments against nonlinear variants of Schrödinger equation [50] by making use of more general entropies [51].

A relevant example of nonlinear collapse is the Langmuir collapse in plasma physics. Langmuir collapses belong to the class of wave collapses, a well-defined topic in nonlinear physics [52]. The collapse of Langmuir wave packets in two or more dimensions was first predicted by Zakharov [53], and it is observed in the laboratory. It is a strong non-linear collapse occuring in strong Langmuir turbulence, which consists of many locally coherent wave packets interacting with a background of long-wavelength incoherent turbulence [54]. Langmuir collapses are governed by a non-linear Schrödinger equation of the type $i\psi_t + 1/2\Delta\psi + |\psi|^s \psi = 0$ which, as it is well-known, allows singularity formation in a finite time $t = t_0$, for $sd \ge 4$, (d is the dimension of space). The phenomenology of the Langmuir turbulence is extremely interesting. Wave packets are observed to "nucleate" in existing density depressions. The nucleation of new wave-packets takes place by the trapping of energy from long-wavelength background turbulence into localized eigenstates of relaxing density wells. Since the collapse transfers energy to short scales, where there is strong damping, a process called "burnout" occur in which energy is transfered to the electrons and the collapse is stopped. In this way the Langmuir field is dissipated, the density cavity relaxes and can serve as a nucleation site for a new wave packet. Perhaps an equivalent physical picture as that of the turbulent wave collapse might be made available with some modifications for wavefunction collapse in a nonlinear scheme of quantum mechanics (e.g., a dust plasma model).

VI. REMARKS ON VARIOUS OTHER TOPICS

A. Friction modifications of quantum mechanics

Modifications of quantum mechanics may be thought of in terms of friction terms for the more general situation of open quantum systems. The problem of the ways of including various types of friction in the quantum mechanical framework has been an active field for decades. Many authors considered the dissipation in the form of friction as a means to reconciliate quantum mechanics and general relativity, and also as able to cast light on the transition between classical and quantum physics. Even though the dissipation of energy seems to be more appropriately described in terms of a density operator approach, there has been always a steady activity towards understanding friction at the level of wave functions [55].

In this area, the damped harmonic oscillator is considered to be "the primary textbook example of the quantum theory of irreversible processes", to quote Milburn and Walls [56].

Some time ago, Ellis, Mavromatos and Nanopoulos [57] studied string theory models from the frictional point of view. They gave reasons to believe that the light particles in string theories obey an effective quantum mechanics modified by the inclusion of a quantum-gravitational friction term, induced by the couplings of the massive string states. According to these authors the string frictional term has a formal similarity to simple models of environmental quantum friction.

Finally, Beciu [58] sketched a proof showing that a friction term for a cosmological fluid still retaining the symmetries of a perfect fluid at the level of the stress tensor is equivalent to an inflaton field.

B. Wave-particle dualities

Historically speaking, the wave-particle dualities were established before the advent of the quantum differential equations. We say dualities and not duality because, not only for historical reasons, one must distinguish the duality of photons from that of massive particles, say electrons.

The wave-particle duality of light is defined by the Einstein relation $E = h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$. This duality of light was used by Einstein to explain the photoelectric effect, by the Nobel-prize formula for the kinetic energy of the emitted electrons $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = E - E_0$ where $E = h\nu$ is the quantized energy of the incident photons and $E_0 = e\phi$ is the threshold energy with ϕ the work function.

The duality of massive particles, on the other hand, was established by de Broglie two decades after Einstein's duality. The wavelength and the momentum of an electron (and of any other massive particle) is given by $\lambda = \frac{h}{p}$.

It is worth noting the fact that the two dualities are related to each other through the photoelectric effect, $\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} = \frac{hc}{\lambda} - e\phi$.

 $\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} = \frac{hc}{\lambda} - e\phi.$ Usually, the textbooks and the literature present the wave-particle dualities as a logical result of Young slit experiments. As a rule, a more or less detailed discussion of the complementarity principle is accompaning the discussion of the Young experiment. Interesting ideas concerning the slit complementarity and duality have been put forth by Wootters and Zurek [59], Bartell [60] and Bardou [61]. These authors made attempts to transcend the rather dogmatic presentation of this fundamental topic. Bartell introduced the idea of intermediate particle-wave behavior. Most probably, we need generalizations of the concepts of wave and particle, of their interactions, and a deep scrutiny of the effects of the type of experiment.

In the last couple of years, the investigation of particle-wave dualities became a very active one, mainly because of the rapid progress of some new technologies. Perhaps, one of the most interesting experiments is that performed by Mizobuchi and Ohtaké [62], which is just a repetition of the old double prism experiment done by Bose as long ago as 1897, however not with microwaves but, following a suggestion of Ghose, Home, and Agarwal [63], with single photon states. An and-logic for the wave-particle duality at the single-photon level has been claimed.

An open problem in detecting photons is the precise meaning of the photon in the detection process. The point is that we are detecting signals, and these signals depend on the experimental detection schemes. The signals will give some pulses in the detectors. Thus the full detection process is governed by some electronic relationships in the signal-pulse-detector system [64].

Understanding better the manifestations of waveparticle dualities for light can be highly relevant in photonics and optical computing [65].

At this point, let me quote from the recent paper entitled "Anti-photon" of W.E. Lamb, Jr. [66]

... there is no such thing as a photon. Only a

comedy of errors and historical accidents led to its popularity among physicists and optical scientists.

Then, of course, the wave-particle duality for light will be loosing its physical picture but will gain in mathematical rigor.

C. The problem of the constancy of the Planck constant

It was remarked by Barut [67] that the free electromagnetic field has no scale. There are only frequencies. Moreover, Planck originally derived his formula from the properties of the oscillator on the boundary of the blackbody cavity, not from the quantization of the field. The common practice of quantization of the fields came later. Therefore, we believe that even today careful experimental checks of the constancy of the Planck constant should be made, and in fact have been made in some laboratories [68]. Barut showed that a formulation of quantum mechanics without the fundamental constants \hbar , m and e is possible [67] [69]. It looks like a pure wave theory in terms of frequencies alone, and it might be used more profitably in experiments where one measures frequency differences. In this case, the energy becomes a secondary concept, and different quantum systems are characterized by an intrinsic proper frequency ω_0 . On the other hand, one can consider quantum dynamics with two Planck constants, like did Diósi [70].

As soon as we depart from the assumption of the constancy of the Planck constant by merely considering a variable Planck parameter (\mathcal{H}) , but nonetheless preserving the constancy of \mathcal{H}/m we may consider some kind of quantization at large scales, planetary or even galactic ones. In fact there is a quite vast literature on megaquantum effects. We draw attention to the fact that such effects are related to the interpretation of \mathcal{H}/m as a pseudo-Planck constant which is associated to some gravitational systems (e.g., the Solar System [71], quasars [72]).

A viewpoint to be recorded was put forward by Landsman [73]. He claimed that only dimensionless combinations of \hbar and a parameter characteristic of the physical system under study are variable in Nature. The references [71] [72] seem to confirm this idea.

We would like to point briefly on the possible effect of the spatial scale of the measurement scheme on the numerical value of fundamental constants. We shall use as an example the fine-structure constant $\alpha=e^2/\hbar c$. At the present time, we know a very precise macroscopic phenomenon, namely the quantum Hall effect, from which the fine-structure constant can be obtained from the quantized Hall resistance. (I consider quantized Hall resistance a more precise experiment as compared to that

involving the proton gyromagnetic ratio, proton magnetic moment and Josephson frequency-to-voltage ratio). The numerical value obtained from the quantum Hall effect is [74]: $\alpha^{-1} = 137.0359943(127)$. On the other hand, the standard atomic measurement (coming from the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron) gives $\alpha^{-1} = 137.0359884(79)$. The two values differ only at the level of 0.1 ppm. The QED corrections are confined to distances of the order of the Compton wavelength of the electron, whereas the primary interaction in the quantum Hall effect is between the electrons in the metal and those circulating in the coils which produce the magnetic field. The spatial scale in this case is of the order of a few cm. It would be extremely interesting to relate the very small differences in the numerical values of the fundamental constants to the spatial scale of the phenomena used to measure them. Presumably, there might be correlations between the last different digits of the numerical values of the fundamental constants and the spatial scale of the measuring device used to determine that value. At least some self-similar correlations are to be expected.

D. Quantum mechanics and cosmology

The previous subsection already introduced us into the much more ambitious program of describing the universe as a whole in quantum mechanical terms. The difficult problem of interpretation is not so much with respect to considering the Hilbert space of the Universe. It is related to the fundamental fact that there can be no *a priori* division into *observer* and *observed*. In other words, there is no Feynman's "rest of the Universe".

A generalization of the Copenhagen interpretation such as to be applied to cosmology was first provided by Everett [75] in 1957. His theory of "many worlds" has been replaced at the present time by theories of "many histories" (time-ordered sequences of projection operators [76]), but the essential ideas remained those of 1957. As a matter of fact, what Everett has done may be entailed in the process of probabilistic modeling, i.e., the organization of the space of wave function(s) as a probability space [77].

Everett showed how in his interpretation it is possible to consider the observer as part of the system (the universe) and how its fundamental activities- measuring, recording, and calculating probabilities- could be described by quantum mechanics. As incomplete points in Everett's interpretation, which has been much clarified subsequently, one should mention the origin of the classical domain we see all around us, and a more detailed explanation of the process of "branching" that replaces the notion of measurement.

The main concept that has emerged in this area is that of decoherence functionals, and the main debated topic is that of connecting this concept to the probability interpretation. Recently, Isham and collaborators presented a classification of the decoherence functionals based on a histories analog of Gleason's theorem [78]. To be noticed are the "negotiations" on the border between quantum and classical in the decoherence framework published in *Physics Today* of April 1993.

E. Quantum jumps

The interesting topic of quantum jumps [79] has to do with the rare but strong fluctuations that may show up in any stochastic process, be it classical or quantum. The mathematical theory of large deviation estimations has been already elaborated in considerable extent [80]. All quantum mechanical equations have solutions to which probability representations may be given [81]. The mathematical problem is to find out probability measures of Poisson processes with jump trajectories, which are similar to the Feynman-Kac transformation of probability measures for processes with continuous trajectories. For relativistic equations we have usually Poisson probability representations, whereas for nonrelativistic equations diffusions in imaginary time have been worked out, but also Poisson representations are possible. One can establish the scale at which the transition from the covariant hyperbolic Dirac dynamics to the non-covariant parabolic dynamics of the Schrödinger equation occurs [82].

As a further argument that quantum jumps, i.e., "discontinuities in time of the wavefunction" in the terminology of Zeh [83], are related to rare fluctuations of stochastic nature, we remark that they are observed even in single quantum systems [84].

F. Analogies to quantum mechanics

Thinking by analogy is considered to be a clear indication of superior reasoning and of human intelligence [85]. In physics there are a vast amount of analogies of much help in the progress of many different branches of this science. Many analogies are not complete and it is precisely this point to induce into error all those residing too much on this beautiful aspect of human thinking. One should keep in mind the danger of extrapolating the analogies beyond their natural limits, which should be carefully estimated, and also the risk of using them in the wrong way.

Coming to quantum mechanics, we would like to recall two quite attractive analogies. The first one is the electric network discussed by Cowan [86] long ago. The Cowan networks have the distribution of the electric energy density in three dimensional space similar to that of probability density waves corresponding to a spinless particle in any potential field.

The second analogy has been recently discussed by J.L. Rosner [87] who showed that the so-called Smith Chart method used for antenna impedance matching corresponds in quantum mechanics to a simple conformal transformation of the logarithmic derivative of the wavefunction. The Smith Chart is a convenient graphical representation for analysing transmission lines [88], and clearly may help understanding from a different point of view the tunneling processes.

G. Human brain and quantum computers/brains

The flux of literature tells us that quantum computers are at good moments of the gate phase and of exploratory discussions of various physical setups from the quantum computational standpoint. This exciting topic has been started about two decades ago (though one can think of Szilard, von Neumann, and Brillouin as well) and might turn into a really major general discipline.

Apparently the functioning of the human brain is not based on quantum effects. The membrane voltages of the neurons do not imply the Planck constant, and the important physical processes are essentially the mesoscopic transport ones. A great advantage of the human brain is a quite flexible microtubule architecture due to a remarkable phenomenon, the so-called *dynamic instability* [89]. The origin of this important phenomenon is debatable, and after having read the note of Sumter and Noid (J. Chem. Phys. of April 22, 1995) I think that a nonlinear resonance mechanism should be considered as a good proposal. Many brain mysteries are hidden in the microtubule assembly characterizing any individual biological brain, and there is much unexplored physics.

The mesoscopic functioning of the human brain does not imply that an almost quantum (e.g., nanoscopic) brain cannot be fabricated. For example, Josephson junctions may be the component units of such a brain since the relationship between the applied voltage and the emitted frequency involves Planck's constant.

In his paper "Is quantum mechanics useful?" Professor Landauer remarked that technologies differ in their explicit utilization of quantum mechanical behaviour. The important technological task in considering quantum computers is to print the bit on as small a material structure as physically possible in order to diminish the energy dissipation in the copying process, and to substantially reduce the switching time from one bit to another. Actually, the real technological effort is evolving at the intricate nanometer scale, which clearly will be essential for the general human progress. The emphasis on the devices is this time both to understand what they measure and mostly to estimate their computing capabilities. As mentioned by Feynman [91] the present transistor systems dissipate 10¹⁰ kT. He considered bits written "ridiculously", as he said, on a single atom. At

present we know this is not ridiculous since we already are talking about atomic transistors [13].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Prof. Gian Carlo Ghirardi for encouraging me to participate to the scientific activity on foundations of quantum theory in Trieste along the summer and fall of 1992, when a first substantial draft of this paper has been written.

This work was partially supported by the CONACyT Project 4868-E9406.

• I. Indefinables

- [1] There are many attempts to construct a comprehensive theory of physical dimensions. See: J. Wallot, "Zur Theorie der Dimensionen", Z. Physik 10, 329 (1922); R. Fleischmann, "Die Struktur des physikalishen Begriffssystems", Z. Physik 129, 377 (1951); R. Fleischmann, "Das physikalische Begriffssystem als mehrdimensionales Punktgitter", Z. Physik 138, 301 (1954); J. Cariñena, M. Santander, "Dimensional analysis", Adv. Electronics Electron Physics 72, 181 (1982); J.C. Gibbings, "On dimensional analysis", J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 13, 75 (1980); "A logic of dimensional analysis", ibid 15, 1991 (1982) and the references therein. P. Minkowski, "Note on the unit of conductance", BUTP-94/03 (1994).
 - An interesting paper on the scales of length and time is: A.M. Wolsky, "The scales of length and time in classical and modern physics", Am. J. Phys. **39**, 529 (1971)
- [2] H. Pierre Noyes, "On the measurement of π ", SLAC-Pub-5732 (1992)
- [3] D.W. Allan, "Statistics of atomic-frequency standards", Proc. IEEE **54**, 221 (1966)
- [4] S.W. Zhou, B.J. Huang, "Abnormalities of the time comparisons of atomic clocks during the solar eclipses", Nuovo Cimento 15 C, 133-137 (1992)
- [5] A. Turing, "On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem", Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2, 42 (1936) 230-265; J.E. Hopcroft, "Turing machines", Sci. Am. 250, 70 (May 1984)
- [6] A. Peres, "What is a state vector?", Am. J. Phys. 52, 644 (1984)
- [7] G. Shafer and A. Tversky, "Languages and designs for probability judgements", Cognitive Science 9, 309 (1985); Mioara Mugur-Schächter, "Quantum probabilities, Kolmogorov probabilities, and informational probabilities", Int. J. Theor. Phys. 33, 53 (1994); J.S. Nicolis and I. Tsuda, "On the parallel between Zipf's law and 1/f processes in chaotic systems possessing coexisting attractors A possible mechanism for language formation in the cerebral cortex", Prog. Theor. Phys. 82, 254 (1989); H.P. Stapp, Mind, Matter and QM, (Springer Verlag,

- Berlin, 1993); A.N. Kolmogorov, Foundations of the theory of probability (Chelsea Press, New York, 1950); Yu.A. Rozanov, Stationary random processes (Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1967); Lect. Notes in Math 1303, Quantum probability and applications III, eds. L. Accardi and W. von Waldenfels (Springer, Berlin, 1988); D.N. Page, "Probabilities don't matter", gr-qc/9411004 (lecture at the 7th Marcel Grossmann Meeting (1994). Let us mention also the topic of "fuzzy" sets (and logic) started in 1965 by L.A. Zadeh who introduced the concepts of linguistic variable and approximate reasoning. "Fuzzy" literature is today at the level of more than 7000 publications.
- [8] J.Y. Halpern and R. Fagin, "Two views of belief: belief as generalized probability and belief as evidence", Artificial Intelligence 54, 275 (1992)
- [9] A.P. Dempster, "Upper and lower probabilities induced by a multivalued mapping", Ann. Math. Stat. 38, 325 (1967)
- [10] Th. Görnitz and C.F. v. Weizsäcker, "Steps in the philosophy of quantum theory", in Lect. Notes in Physics 379, pp. 265-280; "Quantum interpretations", Int. J. Theor. Phys. 26, 921 (1987); A. Khrennikov, "Non-archimedean probability: Frequency and axiomatic theories", preprint Genova # 209 (1992); "p-adic valued quantization", preprint Genova # 215 (1992); "Quantum mechanics over nonarchimedean number fields", Theor. Mat. Fiz. 83, 124-134 (1990); "p-adic quantum mechanics with p-adic valued functions", J. Math. Phys. 32, 932 (1991); B.L. Spokoiny, "Non-archimedean geometry and quantum mechanics", Phys. Lett. B 221, 120 (1989); B. Grossman, *ibid*. **197**, 101 (1987); I.V. Volovich, "Number theory as the ultimate physical theory", preprint CERN-TH/4781 (1987), initiated the p-adic program in physics. For a textbook on the non-standard/ultrametric calculus, see W.H. Schikhoff, Ultrametric Calculus, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 4 (CUP, Cambridge, 1984)

• II. The concept of massiveness

- [11] J. Glimm, "Nonlinear and stochastic phenomena: The grand challenge for partial differential eqs.", SIAM Review 33, 626 (1991)
- [12] See the red-covered volumes of Int. J. Vacuum Sci. & Techn., which are specially dedicated to microelectronics and nanometer structures.
- [13] S. Washburn, "Single atoms as transistors", Nature $\bf 357,$ 199~(1992) and references therein.
 - F. Sols et al., "Theory for a quantum modulated transistor action", J. Appl. Phys. **66**, 3892 (1989); "On the possibility of transistor action based on quantum interference phenomena", Appl. Phys. Lett. **54**, 350 (1989)
 - Single electron quanta are also considered as devices.

 J. Franse, "Manufacturing techniques for complex shared as the complex share
- [14] J. Franse, "Manufacturing techniques for complex shapes with submicron accuracy", Rep. Prog. Phys. 53, 1049 (1990).
 - On the other hand, Nature is doing by herself morphogenetic movements. Take any common animal on the Earth. In a short time lag before being born, it evolves from a cell to a complex biological system. Many biologists consider the morphogenesis within Animal World to reside in some special molecules endowed with cell-adhesion properties. See, e.g., G.M. Edelman, "Cell-adhesion molecules:

a molecular basis for animal form", Sci. Am. **250** (4), 118 (1984).

The rapid morphogenetic period is followed by a somewhat longer growth period which is governed by allotropic transformation formulas. Towards the end of the normal life-cycle of a biological system one must take into account an allotropic scaling down of the biological material.

Physicists believe also that morphogenesis has molecular grounds; see A.P. Balachandran et al., "Molecules as quantum shapes and how they violate P and T", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7, 2087 (1992)

- [15] R.P. Feynman, "There's plenty of room at the bottom", talk given on December 26, 1959, at the annual meeting of AIP at Caltech, republished in J. Microelectromechanical Systems 1, 60 (1992)
- [16] R.F.W. Pease, "Nanolitography and its prospects as a manufacturing technology", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 10, 278 (1992).
- [17] S. Fubini and A. Molinari, "Simple behaviour of macroscopic systems", CERN-TH. 6370/92 (1992)
- [18] B. DeWitt, "Decoherence without complexity and without an arrow of time", talk at the Workshop on Time Asymmetry (Spain, 1991). See also, W. Zurek, "Decoherence and the transition from quantum to classical", Phys. Today (Oct. 1991); A. Albrecht, "Investigating decoherence in a simple system", Phys. Rev. **D** 46, 5504 (1992); "Two perspectives on a decohering spin", Fermilab-Conf-92/41-A (1992) [in Proc. of the Workshop on the Physical Origins of Time Assymetry, Mazagón, Spain, Sept. 30 - Oct 4, 1991, J. Halliwell Ed (Cambridge University Press 1994)]. Albrecht presents a study of a two state spin system coupled to a 25-state environment (apparatus) system from the point of view of the spin density matrix (so-called Schmidt paths; for a discussion see: H.D. Zeh, Found. Phys. 3, 109 (1973)), and using the consistent histories approach due to R. Griffiths, J. Stat. Phys. 36, 219 (1984); R. Omnes, J. Stat. Phys. **53**, 893, 933, 957 (1988); Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 339 (1992)
- [19] B. Gauthier-Manuel, "Direct measurement of the short-range interaction between a tungsten tip and a mica surface", Europhys. Lett. 17, 195 (1992)
 For models of the tip (which is a kind of mesoscopic pointer, i.e., a cluster of atoms) and of the tip-surface interaction see M. Tsukada et al., "A theory of scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy based on the local density functional approach", Rev. Solid State Science 5, 373 (1991); V.L. Bulatov and A.K. Kazansky, "The Green's function method used for modeling the scanning tunnel microscope", Rev. Solid State Science 5, 389 (1991).
 For an introduction to quantum transport at tens of

nanometers in which effects such as Aharonov-Bohm effect, conductance fluctuations, non-local effects, quantised resistance of point contacts, as well as new ultrasmall device concepts are presented see the following reviews: S. Datta, M.J. Mc Lennan, "Quantum transport in ultrasmall electronic devices" Rep. Prog. Phys. **53**, 1003 (1990); F. Capasso, S. Datta, "Quantum electron devices", Phys. Today, (Febr. 1990), pp. 74-82; T.P. Spiller et al., "Comments on small capacitors", Nuovo Cim. B **107**, 725 (1992).

Concerning the device size, we recall here that downwards to $.1\mu$ m one is dealing with conventional classical devices,

- from .1 μ m to about 100Å there is a transition region, from 100Å to 10Å the measuring devices are already quantum ones, and below 10Å to 1Å the devices are atomic-like.
- 20] Some papers related to massiveness are as follows L. Diósi, "On the motion of solids in modified quantum mechanics", Europhys. Lett. 6, 285-290 (1988); K. Kakazu, "Mass effects and one-particle detectors in quantum-measuring processes", Phys. Rev. A 45, 1361 (1992); R.F. Prat, "Centre-of-mass motion in many-particle systems. Application to atoms and to nuclei.", Europhys. Lett. 18, 17-22 (1992); E. Schrödinger, "Der stetige Übergang von der Mikro- zur Makromechanik", Naturwiss. 14, pp. 664-666 (1926); An interesting micro-macro transition at the level of the uncertainty relation is presented by A.K. Rajagopal and S. Teitler, "Microscopic and macroscopic conjunction at minimum uncertainty", Phys. Lett. A 137, 339 (1989)
- [21] K.R.W. Jones, "General method for deforming quantum dynamics into classical dynamics while keeping ħ fixed", Phys. Rev. A 48, 822 (1993)
- [22] R. Seiler, "Does the Born-Oppenheimer approximation work?" Helv. Phys. Acta 46, 230 (1973); See also, T.J. Gil et al., "Application of the Born-Oppenheimer principle to classification of time scales in molecules interacting with time-dependent external fields", Phys. Rev. A 45, 6479 (1992); G. Karl, "Counting the number of vibrational states of a molecule to improve the Born-Oppenheimer estimate", ibid. 49, 799 (1994); N.P. Blake and H. Metiu, "Absorption spectrum calculations for a system having a few quantum and many classical degrees of freedom", J. Chem. Phys. 101, 223 (1994)
- [23] J.U. Brackbill and B.I. Cohen, Eds., Multiple Time Scales, Vol. 3 in Computational Techniques, Eds. B.J. Alder and S. Fernbach, (Academic Press, 1985)
- [24] P.A.M. Dirac, The Principles of Quantum Mechanics, Fourth Edition, (Clarendon Press, Oxford)

• III. QM and diffusions

[25] D. Greenspan, Discrete Numerical Methods in Physics and Engineering (Academic Press, 1974) An old question paper (i.e., a paper having a title ending with a question mark) was written by Schrödinger at the middle of this century, E. Schrödinger, "What is an elementary particle?", Endeavour IX, July 1950. The first paragraph is entitled A Particle is not an individual.

G. Falk et al., "Energy forms or energy carriers?", Am.

- J. Phys. **51**, 1074 (1983). Another way to avoid particles and fields can be encountered in N. Maxwell, "Instead of particles and fields: A micro realistic quantum "Smearon" theory", Found. Phys. **12**, 607 (1982).

 For the opposite standpoint, see: D.V. Shirkov, "Quantum field-the only form of matter?", in Werner Heisenberg als Physiker und Philosoph (Spektrum Ak. Verlag, Heidelberg, 1993); J.F. Donoghue, "Introduction to nonlinear effective field theory", preprint Amherst (1992); J. Audretsch, C. Lämmerzahl, "Reasons for a physical field to obey linear partial differential equations", J. Math. Phys. **32**, 1354 (1991)
- [27] R. Fuerth, "Ueber einige Beziehungen zwischen klassischer Statistik und Quantenmechanik", Zf. fuer Physik 81, 143 (1933).

Back in 1932, Schrödinger investigated the probabilistic aspects of the heat equation; E. Schrödinger, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 2, 269 (1932). See also: I. Fenyes, "Eine wahrscheinlichkeitstheoretische Begründung und Interpretation der Quantenmechanik", Zf. fuer Physik 132, 81 (1952); M. Nagasawa, Schrödinger Equations and Diffusion Theory, Monographs in Mathematics Vol. 86, (Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1993); P. Garbaczewski and J.-P. Vigier, "Brownian motion and its descendants according to Schrödinger", Phys. Lett. A 167, 445 (1992); In this paper, the authors remark that the quantum mechanical density $\rho(x,t)=(\psi\bar{\psi})(x,t)$ follows from introducing two symmetrical systems of ψ -waves propagating in the opposite directions of time and cite Eddington on their interpretation. This has to do with wave splitting-type phenomena.

J.Luczka et al., "Randomly interrupted diffusion", Phys. Lett. A 167, 475 (1992); The authors of this paper consider processes driven by Gaussian white noise, which is interrupted randomly by a two-state 0,1 Markov stochastic process. They construct also an infinitesimal generator of the evolution operator for single-event probability distributions, which describes spatial and temporal non-local evolution of the system under consideration. The two-state Markov process could be substituted by the GRW-type localization, as a simulation of the GRW collapses.

Some interesting papers on various quantum Markov processes, e.g., quantum coins, discrete forms of quantum mechanics, and quantum Poisson processes are the following: S. Gudder, C. Schindler, "Quasi-discrete quantum Markov processes", Annales de L' I.H.P. Phys. Theor. **56: 2**, 123-142 (1992); S. Gudder, "A theory of amplitudes", J. Math. Phys. **29**, 2020 (1988).

Several papers on transport and diffusion theory are: M. Nagasawa, "Transformations of diffusion and Schrödinger processes", Prob. Th. Rel. Fields 82, 109 (1989); M. Ribarič, L. Sustersič, "A linear transport equation for wave phenomena", Transport Th. Statis. Phys. 16, 1041 (1987): "Transport-theoretical unification of field equations", Nuovo Cim. A 104, 1095 (1991); "Transport equation implying the Dirac equation", Transport Th. Statis. Phys. 21, 69 (1992); E.W. Larsen, "Diffusion theory as an asymptotic limit of transport theory for nearly critical systems with small mean free paths", Annals Nucl. Energy 7, 249 (1980); G.C. Pomraning, "Diffusion theory via asymptotics", Transport Th. Statis. Phys. 18, 383 (1989-1990); F. Malvagi, G.C. Pomraning, "Initial and boundary conditions for diffusive linear transport problems", J. Math. Phys. 32, 805 (1991); A.B. Cruzeiro, J.C. Zambrini, "Malliavin calculus and Euclidean quantum mechanics. I. Functional calculus", J. Funct. Anal. 96, 62 (1991); J.C. Zambrini, "Stochastic mechanics according to E. Schrödinger", Phys. Rev. A 33, 1532 (1986); S. Goldstein, "Stochastic mechanics and quantum theory", J. Stat. Phys. 47, 645 (1987); T. Kolsrud and J.-C. Zambrini, "An introduction to the semiclassical limit of Euclidean quantum mechanics", J. Math. Phys. 33, 1301 (1992); B. Djehiche, "Bernstein processes and spin-1/2 particles", J. Math. Phys. 33, 3050 (1992); M.A. Olivares-Robles and L.S. García-Colín, "Mesoscopic derivation of hyperbolic transport equations", Phys. Rev. E 50, 2451 (1994); S.L. Sobolev, "Space-time nonlocal model for heat conduction",

- ibid. **50**, 3255 (1994); L.M. Morato and S. Ugolini, "A connection between quantum dynamics and approximations of Markov diffusions", J. Math. Phys. **35**, 4941 (1994); D.T. Gillespie, "Why qm cannot be formulated as a Markov process", Phys. Rev. A **49**, 1607 (1994); R. Ramanathan, "Why quantum diffusion is nondissipative", Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 495 (1985)
- [28] R. Ghez, A Primer of Diffusion Problems (John Wiley & Sons, 1988)
- [29] K. Molmer, Y. Castin, and J. Dalibard, "Monte Carlo wave-function method in quantum optics", J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 10, 524 (1993) and references therein.
- [30] N. Gisin and I.C. Percival, "The quantum state diffusion picture of physical processes", J. Phys. A 26, 2245 (1993) and references therein.
- [31] G. Schwarz, "Ways of randomizing and the problem of their equivalence", Israel J. Math. 17, 1 (1974); "Randomizing when time is not well-ordered", *ibid.* **19**, 241 (1974). This paper might allow for a connection with the problem of closed timelike curves, J. Friedman et al., "Cauchy problem in spacetimes with closed timelike curves", Phys. Rev. D 42, 1915 (1990); A. Compagner, "Definitions of randomness", Am. J. Phys. **59**, 700 (1991); A. Wald and J. Wolfowitz, "Two methods of randomization in statistics and the theory of games", Ann. Math. 43, 581 (1951); L. Diósi, "On digital randomness of quantum coordinates", KFKI-1992-21/A (1992); G. Lindblad, "Determinism and randomness in quantum mechanics", J. Phys. A 26, 7193 (1993); N. Brenner and S. Fishman, "Pseudo-randomness and localization", Nonlinearity 4, 211 (1992); D. Dürr et al., "Quantum chaos, classical randomness, and Bohmian mechanics", J. Stat. Phys. 68, 259 (1992); L. Bonci et al., "Semiclassical chaos, the uncertainty principle and quantum dissipation", Phys. Rev. A 45, 8490 (1992); J. Ford and M. Ilg, "Eigenfunctions, eigenvalues, and time evolution of finite, bounded, undriven, quantum systems are not chaotic", ibid. 45, 6165 (1992). Ford and Ilg claim that computer calculations of the above systems require algorithms containing logarithmically less information than the quantities themselves, and hence from the point of view of algorithmic complexity theory such systems are not chaotic; J. Gratton, "Similarity and self similarity in fluid dynamics", Fund. Cosmic Physics 15, pp. 1-106 (1991); J.M. Ottino et al., "Chaos, symmetry, and self-similarity: exploiting order and disorder in mixing processes", Science 257, 754 (1992); G. Grössing, "Quantum systems as "order out of chaos" phenomena", Nuovo Cimento B 103, 497 (1989)
- [32] D. Stauffer, Introduction to Percolation Theory (Taylor & Francis, 1985)
 I was inspired at this point by the title of the paragraph 5.3 of this book: "Kinetic clusters within static clusters". For an application to cosmological scales, see B.A. Ovrut, "Large scale structure and percolation theory", UPR-0521T, Invited talk at the XV International Warsaw Meeting on Elementary Particle Physics, Kasimierz, Poland, May 25-29, (1992)
- 33] G.B. Nagy, O.E. Ortiz, and O.A. Reula, "The behavior of hyperbolic heat eqs.'solutions near their parabolic limits", J. Math. Phys. 35, 4334 (1994)
- [34] R. Eugene Collins, "Quantum mechanics as a classical dif-

- fusion process", Found. Phys. Lett. **5**, 63 (1992). See also, A. Posilicano and S. Ugolini, "Convergence of Nelson diffusions with time-dependent electromagnetic potentials", J. Math. Phys. **34**, 5028 (1993)
- [35] I. Imai, "On the definition of macroscopic electromagnetic quantities", J. Phys. Soc. Japan 60, 4100 (1991)
- [36] I.Ya. Brusin, "A topological approach to the determination of macroscopic field vectors", Usp. Fiz. Nauk 151, 143 (1987) [Sov. Phys. Usp. 30, 60 (1987)]

• IV. Spontaneous GRW localization

- [37] A. Shimony, "Desiderata for a modified quantum dynamics", Philosophy of Science Association 2, 49 (1990). In this paper, Professor Shimony points on the opinion of Bell, expressed at a workshop at Amherst College in June 1990, that the stochastic modifications of the standard deterministic quantum dynamics is the most important new idea in the field of foundations of quantum mechanics during his professional lifetime. See also, J.S. Bell, Speakable and Unspeakable in Quantum Mechanics (University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1987)
- [38] E. Joos and H.D. Zeh, "The emergence of classical properties through interaction with the environment", Z. Phys. B **59**, 223 (1985)
- [39] G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini, and T. Weber, "Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems", Phys. Rev. D 34, 470 (1986); G.C. Ghirardi et al., "Spontaneous localization of a system of identical particles", Nuovo Cim. B 102, 383 (1988); T. Weber, "QMSL revisited", ibid. 106, 1111 (1991).
 - For a comparison of JZ and GRW (or QMSL) models see M.R. Gallis and G.N. Fleming, "Comparison of quantum open-system models with localization", Phys. Rev. A 43, 5778 (1991).
 - For some progress toward generalization of QMSL to relativistic domain see N. Gisin, "Stochastic quantum dynamics and relativity", Helv. Phys. Acta **62**, 363 (1989)
- [40] A. Yu. Kasumov, N.A. Kislov, and I.I. Khodos, "Vibration of cantilever of a supersmall mass", unpublished (1992); V.V. Aristov, N.A. Kislov, and I.I. Khodos, "Direct electron beam-induced formation of nanometer carbon structures in STEM", Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser. No. 117: Section 10 (Paper presented at Microsc. Semicond. Mater. Conf., Oxford, 25-28 March 1991)
- [41] B.G. Sumpter and D.W. Noid, "The onset of instability in nanostructures: The role of nonlinear resonance", J. Chem. Phys. 102, 6619 (1995)
- [42] M. Holthaus and B. Just, "Generalized π pulses", Phys. Rev. A **49**, 1950 (1994)
- [43] L. Accardi and L.Y. Gang, "Squeezing noises as weak coupling limit of Hamiltonian systems", Rep. Math. Phys. 29, 227 (1991)
- [44] N. Yamada and S. Takagi, "Spacetime probabilities in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics", Prog. Theor. Phys. 87, 77 (1992)

• V. Nonlinear wavefunction collapse?

- [45] A.O. Barut, "Schrödinger's interpretation of ψ as a continuous charge distribution", Ann. d. Physik **45**, 31 (1988)
- [46] G.F. Chew, "Quantum-electrodynamic model of isolated macroscopic systems with a recordable connection to sur-

- roundings", Phys. Rev. A **45**, 4312 (1992); "A QED model for concentrating condensed matter", LBL-31385 (1991); see also F. Doveil et al., "Localization of Langmuir waves in a fluctuating plasma", Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2074 (1992)
- [47] P. J. Bussey, "When does the wavefunction collapse?", Phys. Lett. A 106, 407 (1984); "Wavefunction collapse and the optical theorem", ibid. A 118, 377 (1986); A.M. Jayannavar, "Comment on some theories of state reduction", ibid. A 167, 433 (1992). This author shows that the modified master equation for the density matrix in theories like those of GRW and Diósi is the same as for a particle subjected to a classical white noise potential.
 - R. Balian, "On the principles of quantum mechanics and the reduction of the wave packet", Am. J. Phys. **57**, 1019 (1989); P. Pearle, "On the time it takes a state vector to reduce", J. Stat. Phys. **41**, 719 (1985); "Might God toss coins?", Found. Phys. **12**, 249 (1982).
 - W.H. Zurek, "Pointer basis of quantum apparatus: Into what mixture does the wave packet collapse?", Phys. Rev. D 24, 1516 (1981); "Environment-induced superselection rules", ibid. 36, 1862 (1982); W.G. Unruh and W.H. Zurek, "Reduction of a wave packet in quantum Brownian motion", ibid. 40, 1071 (1981); H.P. Stapp, "Noise-induced reduction of wave packets and faster than light influences", ibid. A 46, 6860 (1992); GC Ghirardi, R. Grassi, and P. Pearle, "Comment on "Explicit collapse and superluminal signals", Phys. Lett. A $\mathbf{166}$, 435 (1992); GC. Ghirardi et al., "Parameter dependence and outcome dependence in dynamical models for statevector reduction", in Trieste Workshop on Fundamentals 1992, to be published. D.Z. Albert, "On the collapse of the wave function", in Sixty-two Years of Uncertainty: Historical, Philosophical, and Physical Inquires into the Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, A.I. Miller (ed.). New York: Plenum Press, pp 153-65; L. L. Bonilla and F. Guinea, "Collapse of the wave packet and chaos in a model with classical and quantum degree of freedom", Phys. Rev. A 45, 7718 (1992); J. Finkelstein, "Covariant collapse of the state vector and realism", Found. Phys. Lett. 5, 383 (1992); M. Namiki and S. Pascazio, "Quantum theory of measurement: Wave-function collapse, decoherence and related interference phenomena", preprint 1992, review along the lines of Many-Hilbert-Space approach of Machida and Namiki in Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. on Found. of QM, p. 355, eds M. Namiki et al. (Phys. Soc. of Japan, Tokyo, 1987); T. Kobayashi, "Macroscopic and mesoscopic changes of entropies in detectors and collapse in quantum measurement processes", UTHEP-235, Tsukuba, Ibaraki (1992); Kobayashi distinguishes between quantum collapse and pure statistical collapse that could be discriminated by means of mesoscopic phenomena.
- 48] J. Unturbe and J.L. Sánchez-Gómez, "On the role of gravitation in the possible breakdown of the quantum superposition principle for macroscopic systems", Nuovo Cim. B 107, 211 (1992); R. Penrose, "Gravity and state vector reduction", in Quantum Concepts in Space and Time, R. Penrose and C. Isham (eds.). Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 129-46; L. Diósi, Phys. Rev. A 40, 1165 (1989); GC. Ghirardi, R. Grassi, and A. Rimini, "Continuous-spontaneous-reduction model involving gravity", ibid. 42, 1057 (1990); J.L. Rosales and J.L. Sánchez-Gómez, "Nonlinear Schrödinger equation coming from the action of the

- particle's gravitational field on the quantum potential", Phys. Lett. A **166**, 111 (1992)
- [49] J. Ellis, S. Mohanty, and D.V. Nanopoulos, "Quantum gravity and the collapse of the wavefunction", Phys. Lett. B 221, 113 (1989); M. Damjanovic, "Is the collapse a phase transition?", ibid. A 134, 77 (1988); K. Urbanowski, "Reduction process, multiple measurement and decay", Europhys. Lett. 18, 291-295 (1992); M. Jibu, T. Misawa, and K. Yasue, "Measurement and reduction of wavefunction in stochastic mechanics", Phys. Lett. A 150, 59 (1990); Y. Toyozawa, "Theory of measurement-A note on conceptual foundation of quantum mechanics", Prog. Theor. Phys. 87, 293 (1992); J. Daboul, "Increase of average energy by the process of measurement", Europhys. Lett. 18, 189-94 (1992); F. Benatti, "Entropy divergence in the GRW model", Phys. Lett. A 132, 13 (1988)
- [50] A. Peres, "Nonlinear variants of Schrödinger's equation violate the second law of thermodynamics", Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1114 (1989); Concerning nonlinear quantum mechanics, already de Broglie wrote book-lengthly upon it in Tentative d'interpretation causale et non-linéaire de la mecanique ondulatoire, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1956) and Nonlinear Wavemechanics, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1960). Some well-known papers on nonlinear quantum mechanics are as follows: B. Mielnik, "Generalized quantum mechanics", Commun. Math. Phys. 37, 221 (1974); R. Haag and U. Bannier, "Comments on Mielnik's generalized (non linear) quantum mechanics", ibid. 60, 1 (1978); T.W.B. Kibble, "Relativistic models of nonlinear quantum mechanics". ibid. 64, 73 (1978). Kibble expresses the viewpoint that the linearity of quantum mechanics as manifested through the superposition principle is anomalous, in all other known cases being only an approximation.
 - J. Ellis et al., "Search for violations of quantum mechanics", Nucl. Phys. B **241**, 381 (1984)
 - T. Kato, "On nonlinear Schrödinger equations", Ann. Inst. H.P. Phys. Théor. **46**, 113 (1987)
 - H.-D. Doebner and G.A. Goldin, "On a general nonlinear Schrödinger equation admitting diffusion currents", Phys. Lett. A **162**, 397 (1992)
 - Detailed theoretical analysis of the possible nonlinear corrections to quantum mechanics (a viewpoint different from NLSE) can be found in: S. Weinberg, "Precision tests of quantum mechanics", Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 485 (1989); Ann. Phys. **194**, 336 (1989).
 - For some experiments to test the linearity of quantum mechanics see, T.E. Chupp and R.J. Hoare, "Coherence in freely precessing ²¹Ne and a test of linearity of quantum mechanics", Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2261 (1990); R.L. Walsworth et al., "Test of the linearity of quantum mechanics in an atomic system with a hydrogen maser", *ibid.* **64**, 2599 (1990)
- [51] A.M. Mariz, "On the irreversible nature of the Tsallis and Renyi entropies", Phys. Lett. A 165, 409 (1992); See also M. Czachor, "Elements of nonlinear quantum mechanics (ll): Triple bracket generalization of quantum mechanics", hep-th/9406174
- [52] V.E. Zakharov Ed., Wave Collapses, Proc. Int. Workshop on Wave Collapse Physics, Novosibirsk, USSR, 20-27 March 1988, Physica D 52, (1991); S. Dyachenko et al., "Optical turbulence: weak turbulence, condensates

- and collapsing filaments in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation", Physica D **57**, 96 (1992)
- [53] V.E. Zakharov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 62 (1972) 1745 [Sov. Phys. JETP 35, 908 (1972)].
 For a good introduction to NLSE for Langmuir waves see, B.D. Fried and Y.H. Ichikawa, "On the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for Langmuir waves", J. Phys. Soc. Japan 34, 1073 (1973)
- 54] P.A. Robinson and D.L. Newman, "Two-component model of strong Langmuir turbulence: scalings, spectra, and statistics of Langmuir turbulence", Phys. Fluids B 2, 2999 (1990); "Density fluctuations in strong Langmuir turbulence: scalings, spectra, and statistics", *ibid.* B 2, 3016 (1990); P.A. Robinson, "Transit time damping and the arrest of wave collapse", *ibid.* B 3, 545 (1991); G.D. Doolen et al., "Nucleation of cavitons in strong Langmuir turbulence", Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 804 (1985).

Various other types of nonlinear collapses can be encountered in the literature. See, e.g., V. Pérez-Muñuzuri et al., "Collapse of wave fronts in reaction-diffusion systems", Phys. Lett. A **168**, 133 (1992); J.T. Manassah, "Collapse of the two-dimensional spatial soliton in a parabolic-index material", Optics Lett. **17**, 1259-61 (1992)

VI. Various other topics

• VI.A: Friction modifications of qm

- [55] J. Dalibard et al., "Wave-function approach to dissipative processes in quantum optics", Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 580 (1992); A.P. Polychronakos and R. Tzani, "Schrödinger equation for particle with friction", Phys. Lett. B 302, 255-260 (1993)
- [56] G.J. Milburn and D.F. Walls, "Quantum solutions of the damped harmonic oscillator", Am. J. Phys. 51, 1134 (1983); E.G. Harris, "Quantum theory of the damped harmonic oscillator", Phys. Rev. A 42, 3685 (1990); E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti, and G. Vitiello, "Quantum dissipation", Ann. Phys. 215, 156 (1992); A.M. Kowalski, A. Plastino, and A.N. Proto, "Information-theoretic outlook of the quantum dissipation problem", IC/92/214 (August 1992); B.L. Hu, J.P. Paz, and Y. Zhang, "Quantum Brownian motion in a general environment: I. Exact master equation with non local dissipation and colored noise", Phys. Rev. D 45, 2843 (1992). Quantum Brownian motion (QBM) is considered by many people to be the main paradigm of quantum open systems. I. Oppenheim and V. Romero-Rochin, "Quantum Brownian motion", Physica A 147, 184 (1987); S. Roy, "Relativistic Brownian motion and the space-time approach to quantum mechanics", J. Math. Phys. 21, 71 (1980); V.R. Chechetkin, V.S. Lutovinov, "Quantum motion of particles in random fields and quantum dissipation: Schrödinger equation with Gaussian fluctuating potentials", J. Phys. A 20, 4757 (1987)
- 57] J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos, D.V. Nanopoulos, "String theory modifies quantum mechanics", Phys. Lett. B 293, 37 (1992)
- [58] M.I. Beciu, "Frinflation", Europhys. Lett. 27, 71 (1994)

• VI.B: WP dualities

- [59] W.K. Wootters and W.H. Zurek, "Complementarity in the double-slit experiment: Quantum nonseparability and a quantitative statement of Bohr's principle", Phys. Rev. D 19, 473 (1979)
- [60] L.S. Bartell, "Complementarity in the double-slit experiment: On simple realizable systems for observing intermediate particle-wave behavior", ibid. D 21, 1698 (1980)
- [61] F. Bardou, "Transition between particle behavior and wave behavior", Am. J. Phys. 59, 458 (1991)
- [62] Y. Mizobuchi and Y. Ohtaké, "An "experiment to throw more light on light", Phys. Lett. A 168, 1 (1992)
- [63] P. Ghose, D. Home, and G.S. Agarwal, "An experiment to throw more light on light", ibid. 153, 403 (1991)
- [64] L. Kannenberg, "Quantum formalism via signal analysis", Found. Physics 19, 367 (1989); D. Hajela, "On faster than Nyquist signaling: further estimations on the minimum distance", SIAM J. Appl. Math. 52, 900 (1992); J.D. Cresser, "Theory of electron detection and photon-photoelectron correlations in two-photon ionization", J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 6, 1492 (1989); B.R. Mollow, "Quantum theory of field attenuation", Phys. Rev. 168, 1896 (1968); V.P. Bykov, V.I. Tatarskii, "Causality violation in the Glauber theory of photodetection", Phys. Lett. A 136, 77 (1989)
- [65] H. John Caulfield and J. Shamir, "Wave particle duality considerations in optical computing", Appl. Opt. 28, 2184 (1989); "Wave-particle duality processors: characteristics, requirements, and applications", J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 7, 1314 (1990); I.M. Ross, "Telecommunications in the era of photonics", Solid State Technology, (April 1992), pp. 36-43, presented before the IEE Michael Faraday Bicentennial Conf. in London, England, Sept. 25, 1991; C.M. Caves, "Quantum limits on bosonic communication rates", Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 481 (1994); P.M. Harman, "Maxwell's other demons", Nature 356, 753 (1992)
- [66] W.E. Lamb, Jr., "Anti-photon", Appl. Phys. B 60, 77 (1995)

• VI.C: Constancy of the Planck constant

- [67] A.O. Barut, "Formulation of wave mechanics without the Planck constant ħ", Phys. Lett. A 171, 1 (1992). h-physics, that is physics related to the Planck constant is a kind of atomism which is not the most general one. The charge atomism, i.e., the existence of localized, charged particles with different masses does not follow from the Planck physics. See the discussion of R. Römpe and H.-J. Treder, "Demokrit-Planck", Ann. Physik 45, 37 (1988). Of relevance here is also D.F. Bartlett and W.F. Edwards, "Invariance of charge to Lorentz transformation", Phys. Lett. A 151, 259 (1990); H.D. Weymann, "Finite speed of propagation in heat conduction, diffusion, and viscous shear motion", Am. J. Phys. 35, 488 (1965). In the last paper, it is shown that the finite speed of propagation is due to the atomistic structure of matter.
- [68] E. Fishbach, G.L Greene, and R.J. Hughes, "New test of QM: Is Planck's constant unique?", Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 256 (1991); M. Baublitz Jr., "Limitations on the possibility of distinct Planck constants", Nuovo Cimento B 110, 121 (1995)
- [69] P.S. Wesson, "Constants and cosmology: the nature and origin of fundamental constants in astrophysics and parti-

- cle physics", Space Sci. Rev. **59**, 407 (1992). According to Wesson, there are no constants which truly deserve to be called fundamental, and therefore the aim of physics ought to be to write down laws in which no constants appear. L.B. Okun, "Fundamental constants of physics", TPI-MINN-91/22-T [ITEP-41-91] (1991).
- [70] L. Diósi, "Quantum dynamics with two Planck constants and the semiclassical limit", quant-ph/9503023
- [71] J.P. Bagby, "A comparison of the Titius-Bode rule with the Bohr atomic orbitals", Spec. Sci. Technol. 2, 173 (1979); R. Wayte, "Quantization in stable gravitational systems", Moon and Planets 26, 11 (1982); R. Louise, "A postulate leading to the Titius Bode law", *ibid.* 26, 93 (1982); "Loi de Titius Bode et formalism ondulatoire", *ibid.* 26, 389 (1982); "Quantum formalism in gravitational quantitative application to the Titius-Bode Law", *ibid.* 27, 59 (1982); A. Buta, "Toward an atomic model of the planetary system", Rev. Roum. Phys. 27, 321 (1982)
- [72] W.G. Tifft, Ap. J. **179**, 29 (1973); **181**, 305 (1973); W.J. Cocke, "A theoretical framework for quantized redshifts and uncertainty in cosmology", Astrophys. Lett. 23, 239 (1983); W.G. Tifft and W. J. Cocke, "Global redshift quantization", Ap. J. 287, 492 (1984); R. Louise, "Steady wave model of spiral galaxies and its application in cosmology", Astrophys. Sp. Sci. 86, 505 (1982); M. Der-Sarkissian, "Does wave-particle duality apply to galaxies ?", Lett. Nuovo Cim. 40, 390 (1984); "New consequences of cosmic QM", ibid. 43, 274 (1985); "Possible evidence for gravitational Bohr orbits in double galaxies", ibid. 44, 629 (1985); H. Arp, "Additional members of the local group of galaxies and quantized redshifts within the two nearest groups", J. Astrophys. Astr. 8, 241 (1987); D.M. Greenberger, "Quantization in the Large", Found. Physics 13, (1983); R. Muradian, "Regge in the sky: Origin of the cosmic rotation", preprint IC/94/143 (1994) and references therein;
 - R.L. Oldershaw, "Discrete self-similarity between periodradius relations for variable stars and Rydberg atoms", Spec. Sci. Tech. **14**, 193 (1991)
- [73] N.P. Landsman, "Definitions of algebra of observables and the classical limit of quantum mechanics", Rev. Math. Phys. 5, 775 (1993)
- [74] E.R. Cohen and B.N. Taylor, "The 1986 adjustment of the fundamental physical constants", Rev. Mod. Phys. 59, 1121 (1987); "The fundamental physical constants", Physics Today (August 1994)

• VI.D: Quantum mechanics and cosmology

- [75] H. Everett III, ""Relative state" formulation of qm", Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 454 (1957)
- [76] M. Gell-Mann and J.B. Hartle, "Quantum mechanics in the light of quantum cosmology", Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. Foundations of Quantum mechanics, Tokyo, pp. 321-343 (1989)
- [77] S. Guiasu, "Probability space of wave functions", Phys. Rev. A 36, 1971 (1987)
- [78] C.J. Isham, N. Linden, and S. Schreckenberg, "The classification of decoherence functionals: an analogue of Gleason's theorem", J. Math. Phys. 35, 6360 (1994)

• VI.E: Quantum jumps

- [79] T. Erber et al., "Resonance fluorescence and quantum jumps in single atoms: Testing the randomness of quantum mechanics", Ann. Phys. 190, 254 (1989); R.G. Hulet et al., "Precise test of quantum jump theory", Phys. Rev. A 37, 4544 (1988); D.T. Pegg and P.L. Knight, "Interrupted fluorescence, quantum jumps, and wave-function collapse", ibid. 37, 4303 (1988); M.S. Kim and P.L. Knight, "Quantum-jump telegraph noise and macroscopic intensity fluctuations", ibid. 36, 5265 (1987)
- [80] J.D. Deuschel and D.W. Stroock, "Large deviations", Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 137, Academic Press (1987); D.W. Dawson and J. Gartner, "Long time fluctuations of weakly interacting diffusions", Stochastics 20, 247 (1987); J. Lynch and J. Sethuraman, "Large deviations for processes with independent increments", Ann. Probab. 15, 610 (1987); M. Ovidiu Vlad and K.W. Kehr, "A new stochastic description of random processes with memory: the overall jump rate as a random variable", Phys. Lett. A 158, 149 (1991)
- [81] A.A. Konstantinov, V.P. Maslov and A.M. Chebotarev, "Probability representations of solutions of the Cauchy problem for quantum mechanical equations", Usp. Mat. Nauk 45:6, 3 (1990) [Russian Math. Surveys 45:6, 1 (1990)]
- [82] S. Succi and R. Benzi, "Lattice Boltzmann equation for quantum mechanics", ROM2F/92/30 (1992)
- [83] H.D. Zeh, "There are no quantum jumps, nor are there particles!", Phys. Lett. A 172, 189 (1993)
- [84] T. Erber and S.J. Putterman, "Quantum jumps in a single atom; prolonged darkness in the fluorescence of a resonantly driven cascade", Phys. Lett. A 141, 43 (1989); J.C. Bergquist et al., "Observation of quantum jumps in a single atom", Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1699 (1986)

• VI.F: Analogies to qm

- [85] Yi Bo and Xu Jia-Fu, "Analogy model and analogy correspondence", Science in China A 35, 374 (1992)
- [86] E.W. Cowan, "Electric analog network for quantum mechanics", Am. J. Phys. 34, 1122 (1966)
- [87] J.L. Rosner, "The Smith chart and quantum mechanics", Am. J. Phys. 61, 310 (1993)
- [88] W.N. Caron, Antenna Impedance Matching, (American Radio League Publications, Newington, CT, 1989)

• VI.G: Human brain and quantum computers/brains

- [89] T. Mitchison and M. Kirschner, "Microtubule assembly nucleated by isolated centrosomes" and "Dynamic instability of microtubule growth", Nature 312, 232, 237 (1984)
- [90] R. Landauer, "Is qm useful?", preprint, (1994)
- [91] R. P. Feynman, "Quantum mechanical computers", Optics News 11, 11, (Febr. 1985)